

Four Icelandic Banks Affirmed On Supportive Economic Growth, But Weakening Operating Landscape; Outlooks Stable

Primary Credit Analyst:

Antonio Rizzo, Madrid (34) 91-788-7205; Antonio.Rizzo@spglobal.com

Secondary Contacts:

Yulia Kozlova, CFA, London (44) 20-7176-3493; yulia.kozlova@spglobal.com

Salla von Steinaecker, Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-164; salla.vonsteinaecker@spglobal.com

Markus W Schmaus, Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-155; markus.schmaus@spglobal.com

Arianna Valezano, London + 44 20 7176 3838; arianna.valezano@spglobal.com

- Although we believe that the Icelandic banking market has stabilized, we might not see a further improvement in the competitive and funding landscape over the next few years. We have therefore revised our banking industry risk trend in Iceland to stable from positive.
- In our view, the role of pension funds in lending continues to distort Icelandic banks' competitive environment in terms of business generation and margins.
- While new external funding is gradually diversifying resources for the banks, we expect the domestic wholesale funding market to remain small and concentrated compared to that of international peers.
- Overall, economic risks in Iceland remain stable as the economy continues to grow and signs of overheating are receding.
- However, credit risk may increase, due to banks' relatively sizable exposure to the real estate and tourism sectors and to consumer price index-linked mortgage loans, combined with fierce domestic competition from bank and non-bank institutions.
- We are affirming all our ratings on Arion Bank, Islandsbanki hf, Landsbankinn hf., and Housing Financing Fund (HFF), and revising the outlook on HFF to stable from positive. The outlooks on Arion Bank, Islandsbanki, and Landsbankinn remain stable.

MADRID (S&P Global Ratings) July 17, 2018--S&P Global Ratings said today that it affirmed its long-term and short-term issuer credit ratings on Iceland-based Arion Bank, Islandsbanki hf, Landsbankinn hf., and Housing Financing Fund Ibudalanasjodur (HFF). At the same time, we revised the outlook on HFF to stable from positive. The outlooks on Arion Bank, Islandsbanki, and Landsbankinn remain stable.

The rating actions take into account our view that economic growth in Iceland continues to support the banking sector, resulting in business growth and low default rates. This is balanced by our expectation of more challenging competitive and funding dynamics.

We now see the trend for industry risk in Iceland as stable, rather than positive, over our 24-month horizon. As Icelandic banks have recently regained full access to foreign debt capital markets and seek to diversify their funding mix, they are increasing their reliance on external funding. At the same time, we expect banks' high share of stable equity to decline in the coming years. This trend, coupled with a relatively low core customer deposits base of about 35% on systemwide loans, increasingly exposes banks to wholesale market volatility, both at home and abroad. We calculate that the net external debt of the banking sector, as a percentage of domestic loans, increased to around 9% in 2017 from -2% in 2015. We consider that the domestic debt market in Iceland is relatively small and concentrated by product and type of investor, given the dominant presence of local pension funds.

We believe that risks could arise from growth in the lending activities of the pension funds. In our view, pension funds' growing presence is distorting the competitive landscape for mortgages, as pension funds are putting pressure on pricing, and arguably banks' lending underwriting, in the medium-to-long term. Pension funds enjoy a lower regulatory burden than banks and already represent about half of newly granted mortgage loans, or about 18% of the stock compared to 10% two years ago. Over the next two years, we expect that the regulators will address the negative effect of this distortion on local banks more actively.

On the other hand, we also consider that regulators have managed well the recent lifting of capital controls; the restructuring of legacy banks; and the implementation of measures to reduce economic volatility (that is, through high capital requirements and strict funding and liquidity requirements) and risky residential mortgages (for example, through a loan-to-value cap of 85%).

The trend for economic risk in Iceland remains stable. This reflects our expectation that house prices will continue to grow, but at a more tempered pace than in 2017. We expect the growth rate to slow to about 7% on average in 2018-2019, from a double-digit level in the past two years, because construction is gradually catching up with demand.

However, we consider that the banking sector is facing structurally higher credit risks than peer countries. Specifically, a large share of loans is

linked to the consumer price index. Although this is a longstanding feature of the Icelandic market, in our view, it poses risks for the banking sector if not properly managed in the medium-to-long term, given that it could inflate borrowers' principal debt. This is another area where we expect all market participants to step up their preparations for potentially more negative or volatile markets.

Moreover, commercial real estate prices--and banks' exposure to this segment--have been increasing relative to fundamental drivers such as building costs and corporate earnings, toward levels before the financial crisis. Similarly, tourism-related activities now represent an important part of the domestic economy, and with around 10% of banks' loan books directly exposed, the sensitivity of their balance sheets to this inherently cyclical sector has also increased. We believe that banks' indirect exposure to tourism is markedly higher, representing an exceptional risk in potential future downturns.

We consider that the three commercial banks--Arion Bank, Islandsbanki, and Landsbankinn--now have similar liquidity profiles, with a liquidity buffer falling from high levels as they extend their funding and optimize their capital. Although this does not result in a rating change, we now consider that the liquidity of Landsbankinn and Islandsbanki is adequate, rather than strong, as we no longer consider them outliers compared to our overall market assessment.

The revision of our outlook on HFF to stable from positive reflects our view that HFF's new public policy role is unlikely to lead to stronger and more stable earnings. We have published an individual research update on HFF to provide more details regarding the rationale behind our rating action.

OUTLOOKS

ARION BANK

Our stable outlook on Arion Bank balances our view of the bank's sustained capital generation and progress in reducing its equity position. We believe that the bank will materially reduce its capital over the next two-to-three years as it recalibrates its capital base, but that it is unlikely to reduce its risk-adjusted capital (RAC) ratio below 15%.

We could lower the rating if capital reduced beyond our expectations (that is, an RAC ratio below 15%). This could also be the case if we saw signs of a material deterioration of asset quality as a result of more aggressive underwriting or economic headwinds in Iceland. Such a development could result in us no longer viewing the combined capital and risk assessment as a relative strength for the rating.

We consider a positive rating action unlikely, as we expect the bank's regional and sector concentration to remain unchanged, while its funding and liquidity profile normalizes after the lifting of capital controls. We also

reflect this in our overall banking market assessment, which includes the rather small and concentrated nature of the market.

ISLANDSBANKI

The stable outlook on Islandsbanki reflects our expectation that the bank's RAC ratio will remain sustainably above 15%, even while the bank prepares for an eventual sale or IPO over the next two years, and it optimizes its capital base by paying extraordinary dividends and issuing capital instruments. We expect the bank's asset quality to improve only marginally from current levels, remaining in line with that of domestic peers. The stable outlook further balances our view of the still-supportive economic development in Iceland with the relatively concentrated and volatile nature of the economy and increasing credit risks.

We could take a negative rating action if Islandsbanki's RAC ratio declined more than we expected, or if its loan asset quality deteriorated materially, requiring significant and unexpected additional provisioning. This could follow a quicker sale than we expect to owners we view as more aggressive. In addition, we could lower our rating if we saw signs of the economic and banking industry environment in Iceland weakening.

We consider a positive rating action unlikely, as we expect the bank's regional and sector concentration to remain unchanged, while its funding and liquidity profile normalizes after the lifting of capital controls. We also reflect this in our overall banking market assessment, which includes the rather small and concentrated nature of the market.

LANDSBANKINN

Our stable outlook on Landsbankinn reflects our expectations that the bank's RAC ratio will remain above 15% over the next two years, despite high dividend payments and share-buyback programs. We view the bank's asset quality as in line with the risks in the Icelandic market and with that of domestic peers. The stable outlook further balances our view of strong economic development in Iceland against the relatively concentrated and volatile nature of the Icelandic economy and increasing economic imbalances.

We could lower the rating if Landsbankinn's RAC ratio declined more than we expected, or if its loan asset quality deteriorated materially, requiring significant and unexpected additional provisioning. This could follow a quicker sale than we expect to owners we view as more aggressive.

We consider a positive rating action unlikely, as we expect the bank's regional and sector concentration to remain unchanged, while its funding and liquidity profile normalizes after the lifting of capital controls. We also reflect this in our overall banking market assessment, which includes the rather small and concentrated nature of the market.

HFF

The stable outlook reflects our expectations that HFF's profitability will

decline in the next 12 months, and that the benefits stemming from HFF's newly assigned public policy role will take time to materialize. At the same time, we consider that HFF will maintain adequate capital levels over our two-year outlook horizon. Despite the inherent volatility of HFF's balance sheet, we expect that the RAC ratio will remain around 8.5%-9.0% in the next 12 months. We anticipate that Iceland's economic and operating environments will stabilize, and, in turn, support contained new credit losses and low nonperforming loans, as HFF continues to work out legacy assets and new lending is limited.

We could raise the ratings if we expected that HFF's new public role would result in stronger and more stable earnings, most likely based on significant and predictable fee income. This assessment could follow more commitment and clarity from the Icelandic government on the future role of HFF, including the scale and scope of its different functions, business model, and compensation structure.

We could also raise our ratings if HFF strengthens its RAC ratio to sustainably above 10%, while our view of its risk profile does not deteriorate.

We could lower the ratings if we saw signs of economic trends in Iceland worsening. Specifically, we could take a negative rating action if we thought that increasing imbalances in the economy would lead to weaker asset quality or higher market risks.

We could downgrade HFF if we concluded that the effects of a potential default of HFF for the Icelandic government and the capital markets had diminished, thereby reducing the government's incentive to provide timely extraordinary support to HFF.

RELATED CRITERIA

- Criteria - Financial Institutions - General: Risk-Adjusted Capital Framework Methodology, July 20, 2017
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings , April 7, 2017
- General Criteria: Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions, March 25, 2015
- Criteria - Financial Institutions - Banks: Bank Hybrid Capital And Nondeferrable Subordinated Debt Methodology And Assumptions, Jan. 29, 2015
- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria - Financial Institutions - Banks: Quantitative Metrics For Rating Banks Globally: Methodology And Assumptions, July 17, 2013
- Criteria - Financial Institutions - Banks: Banks: Rating Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011
- Criteria - Financial Institutions - Banks: Banking Industry Country Risk

Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011

- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

RELATED RESEARCH

- Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment Update: June 2018, June 20, 2018
- Republic of Iceland 'A/A-1' Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Stable, June 8, 2018
- Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment: Iceland, Feb. 21, 2018

BICRA SCORE SNAPSHOT*

Iceland	To	From
BICRA Group	4	4
Economic risk	4	4
Economic resilience	Low risk	Low risk
Economic imbalances	High risk	High risk
Credit risk in the economy	Intermediate risk	Low risk
Industry risk	5	5
Institutional framework	Intermediate risk	Intermediate risk
Competitive dynamics	Intermediate risk	Intermediate risk
Systemwide funding	High risk	High risk
Trends		
Economic risk trend	Stable	Stable
Industry risk trend	Stable	Positive

*Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment (BICRA) economic risk and industry risk scores are on a scale from 1 (lowest risk) to 10 (highest risk). For more details on our BICRA scores on banking industries across the globe, please see "Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment Update," published monthly on RatingsDirect.

Ratings List

Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Revised

	To	From
Housing Financing Fund Ibudalanasjodur Counterparty Credit Rating	BB+/Stable/B	BB+/Positive/B

Ratings Affirmed

Housing Financing Fund Ibudalanasjodur Senior Unsecured	BB+
---	-----

Arion Bank

Counterparty Credit Rating	BBB+/Stable/A-2
Senior Unsecured	BBB+

Islandsbanki hf

Counterparty Credit Rating	BBB+/Stable/A-2
Senior Unsecured	BBB+
Subordinated	BBB-

Landsbankinn hf.

Counterparty Credit Rating	BBB+/Stable/A-2
Senior Unsecured	BBB+

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column. Alternatively, call one of the following S&P Global Ratings numbers: Client Support Europe (44) 20-7176-7176; London Press Office (44) 20-7176-3605; Paris (33) 1-4420-6708; Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-225; Stockholm (46) 8-440-5914; or Moscow 7 (495) 783-4009.

Copyright © 2018 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.